

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,556	02/27/2004	Anthony George Burns	0108-0245	9235	
33787 JOHN J. OSKO	7590 07/11/2008 OREP ESO	EXAMINER			
ONE MAGNIFICENT MILE CENTER			BAYOU, YONAS A		
980 N. MICHI SUITE 1400	GAN AVE.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60611		2134		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/789,556	BURNS, ANTHONY GEORGE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YONAS BAYOU	2134	

	YONAS BAYOU	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance ER 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(00(-) 1 #	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	lension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a They raise new issues that would require further core. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet.)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach-	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2134			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Offic 2134			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants remarks have been considered, but are not persuasive with respect to claims 1,4-7, and 15-16. Examiner responses 102(b): applicant states: Jin does not teach, "reading," stroing," and "repeating" which are performed "automatically"..."in response to a triger signal" as claimed in claims 1-22. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. Examiner notes that of the Jin reference paragraphs 28, 39-40 and figs. 4-44 refeaches generally, the present system allows serially responsal information through a mobile terminal; pararaphs 5, 11 teaches mobile telephones can be used for phone number storage, schedule management, and personal information management; paragraphs 7, 28, 30-31, 40, and figs. 4-4f teaches intently repeating the act of reading and storing for at least a second user-specific information and automatically grouping the user-specific information (see paragraph 31). Respecifically, this is examiner respond and reasoning as to find applicant's remarks not persuasive.